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Abstract — The present era, in particular, the last 3 to 4 decades can be easily named the “Plastic Age” and dealing with plastic waste has always been a challenging affair for Urban local bodies. Plastic roads are bituminous roads or pavement that have a waste plastic blended mix for their wearing courses. Plastic bituminous roads being a sustainable technology are becoming very popular nowadays as a substitute for conventional bituminous roads in India and other parts of the World. Evaluation of pavement is important to plan its maintenance strategy timely to avoid the complete deterioration of the pavement. In the present study, plastic bituminous roads and normal bituminous roads are evaluated for their various distress parameters and are compared based on distress parameters over the years. This paper tries to answer a basic question of whether Plastic Bituminous Roads are definitely sustainable but are they also better in handling the distresses. The study has also revealed that plastic bituminous roads perform better in early deterioration as compared to normal bituminous roads which will encourage field professionals and Urban Local bodies to use this sustainable technology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Good roads and connectivity to all locations are very important. Cities all over the world have similar infrastructure but a country’s true richness lies in the way its villages and remote locations are taken care of. After construction, proper maintenance of these roads is of the utmost importance for the smooth functioning of traffic. The definition of road maintenance given by the Indian Roads Congress (IRC), says, “Routine work performed to upkeep pavement, shoulders and other facilities provided for road users, as nearly as possible in their constructed conditions under normal conditions of traffic and forces of nature” [1]. Maintenance is essential to get optimum service from the pavement structure during its life period.

But, if any real-life solution can be given, which can prevent the early distresses on roads like potholes, cracks, ruts, etc., then it will save crores of rupees plus effort and management needed for periodic maintenance. Many researchers and scientists are experimenting with different materials specifically on bituminous roads which are referred to as modified bituminous roads to make them more durable which will require lesser maintenance. In order to reduce the accrual of plastic waste material on mother earth and also boost its use for enhancement of pavements, research has been carried out in recent years. Tests have been conducted in order to control this issue and also to decide if this plastic waste can be recycled and put to use gainfully in the development of pavements [2]. Experimentation conducted in some organizations shows that when plastic waste is added to hot aggregates, the plastic forms a fine layer over the entire mix. This kind of blend mixed with waste plastic results in invigorating higher strength, and protection from water along with improved functioning over a period of time [2]. The related experimentation has indicated that the waste plastic, when added to hot aggregate will form a fine coat of plastic over the aggregate and then when a mix is produced with the binder, it is found to give higher strength, higher resistance to water and better performance over a period of time. Therefore, it is relevant to use waste plastic in the construction of roads. Including waste plastic as a material in the construction of roads can prove to be a boon in two ways: by delaying the distresses leading to better roads and also utilization of waste which otherwise is an environmental hazard.

A. Plastic Bituminous Roads (PBR)

A sight that is very common in both, the provincial territories, as well as metropolitan areas, is empty plastic sacks as well as other plastic garbage littering the roads and streets. This kind of garbage is also responsible for choking the drains. Plastic being non-biodegradable tends to block off the water which leads to stagnation and other cleanliness issues. Based on recent studies in the year 2020, that have been published, plastic waste is generated 400 Million Tonnes globally each year and also it is observed that plastics are not biodegradable and remain unaltered on the earth for a period of about 4,500 years [3]. As the population of the world increases, there is a rise in demand for food as well as essentials increasing the amount of plastic waste that is generated daily.

Waste plastic can replace 10% to 15% of bitumen and this replacement can save approximately Rs.35000 to Rs.45000 for every kilometre of width 3.75 M of roads. Utilizing waste plastic in the construction of roads can eliminate plastic shrinkage cracking and reduce drying shrinkage of road surfaces [4]. Inspired by the success of plastic roads (using plastic waste in bituminous roads), many state governments and local agencies in India started showing interest in laying down roads using this methodology. To give proper
guidelines for the construction of such types of roads Indian Road Congress (IRC) came up with a special code IRC SP: 98 in the year 2013. The IRC SP:98-2013 code has made the concept of using such waste plastic in the laying of bituminous roads more streamlined and thus encouraging many more organizations and agencies to implement this technique.

The technology to make the plastic mix for the construction of roads is an uncomplicated process consisting of the following four steps:

1. Plastic wastes such as plastic cups, hard and soft foams, laminated plastics as well as plastic carry bags need to be collected.
2. The collected material needs to be washed and cleaned.
3. The cleaned material needs to be shredded to a uniform size.
4. The final step is to melt the plastic waste at a temperature of 165°C and blend it with hot aggregates as well as bitumen to lay the road.

B. Advantages of Plastic Bituminous Road

A PBR that has been built solidly results in the following advantages [5]-[8]:

- Better resistant to water.
- There is no stripping.
- There are better bonding and holding of the blend, resulting in fewer potholes.
- The roads are better able to withstand loads.
- There is a significant drop in the total use of bitumen.
- Less rutting and ravelling as a result of a reduction in pores entirely.
- There is a significant lengthening in the life period of the road.
- Radiation such as UV has no impact on the roads.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

During the study at the Centre for Transportation Engineering in the laboratories of Bangalore University, India, plastic was made use of as an additive in varying measures 0 to 12% by weight of bitumen [5]. The outcome of the laboratory experimentations revealed that by adding an amount of 8.8% plastic waste by weight of bitumen, there was a substantial improvement in desirable properties of the bituminous mix such as strength, fatigue life, stability, and others that were experienced even under adverse conditions such as waterlogging. Adding 8.0% by weight of waste plastic to the mix for preparing the modified bitumen resulted in a saving of 0.4% bitumen by weight of the mix. Chavan [9] stated when a plastic coating is used in aggregates utilized in the construction of roads, it results in enhanced performance of the roads. Because of the increased bonding as well as the larger contact area between the bitumen and the polymers, the binding of the waste plastic-coated aggregate and the bitumen are greatly enhanced. Moreover, the polymer coating also brings about a reduction in voids resulting in the elimination of moisture absorption. Gawande, 2013 has proven the economic viability of the use of PBR [10]. The ever-increasing traffic results in deterioration of roads which reduce the life of roads. Plastic roads are a cure by delaying the deterioration of roads. Using this technology will result in a saving of millions of dollars by reducing the bitumen content and lesser maintenance.

A 2014 study reported that plastic polyvinyl chloride (PVC), a form of plastic that is extremely hazardous for the environment is being used to substitute the bitumen up to 3% to 5% to modify it in the production of a paving mix. The author experimented with several trials and his experimentation concluded that the PVC refuse can be used with safety only if it can be homogenously blended with the bitumen at 160 °C. Two benefits were observed. One was that the strength and stability of the bitumen mix that was produced with the PVC pipe waste increased and the second one was that the pavements were found to be more resistant to permanent deformation [11]. India has constructed 1 lakh km of roads in 11 states making use of plastic products that were discarded. It was in the year 2015 that the revolution of using plastic waste in the construction of roads caught on after the Government of India made it mandatory. India being in the midst of a garbage crisis, this initiative is very much in keeping with the Swachh Bharat Abhiyan movement (Clean India movement) of the Government [12]. A report by World Economic Forum states that roads made from plastic waste are better able to withstand extreme weather conditions such as heat and floods in comparison to roads that are built conventionally [13].

A. Plastic Roads outside India

The technology developed by Dr. R. Vasudevan became popular across the globe and many countries have adopted plastic roads in different ways. In the country of Indonesia, similar techniques with plastic are being used to construct roads. An asphalt-plastic mix is used in many areas including Bekasi, Surabaya, Bali, Makassar, Solo, and others [14]. A Dutch company called Volkerwessels built plastic roads in an area called Zwolle which is in the north-eastern part of the Netherlands for bicyclists. Al-Hadidy & Yi-Qu [15] quantified that by using 6% pyrolysis Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE) flexible pavements with superior durability and performance can be built in China. Such pavements are also more economical as has been indicated by studies that are based on the utilization of pyrolysis LDPE. Kwabena et al. [16] studied the effects of a blend of waste thermoplastic polymers like high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and polypropylene (PP) in traditional AC-20 grade bitumen, in varying blends. In the case of HDPE, it was observed that the most compatible blend was found to be at 2% polymer loading and the most incompatible blend was found to be at 3% polymer loading. In the case of PP, the most improved as well as homogenous blend was obtained with the PP at 3% polymer loading. In the country of Ghana, as per this study, bitumen modified with waste plastic has tremendous potential as another option for recycling waste plastic. Moreover, it is well suited as a novel binder that has been modified and which can be used for the construction of roads. Agyeman et al. [17] undertook a series of tests in the laboratory on various types of plastic waste from multiple industries in Ghana, for the possible utilization of materials used for constructing roads such as paver blocks, etc. The outcome of these studies showed that low, as well as high
content plastic samples, had improved compressive strength in comparison to specimens that had no plastic. According to a news report in India Times in January 2019 [13], the United Kingdom gave the go-ahead to a trial worth Rs.14 crores to construct plastic-asphalt roads to manage the millions of tons of plastic waste that have been filling up the country’s landfills. In the Netherlands, an attempt has been made to construct roads that are made only from plastic. The first such road they built was a bike path in Rotterdam. By making use of only waste plastic, the carbon footprint of the entire process will be reduced as no asphalt is used. A recent news report published by India Times, 2020 [14] stated that the United Kingdom has announced that it is willing to invest £1.6 million in trying to build plastic roads as a collaborative effort with an asphalt enhancement company. The technique used for this venture is the one developed by R. Vasudevan along with some other secret compounds that ensure the durability of the roads. Cities like London, Gloucester, and Durham are areas where this technology is being implemented. Indonesia has also used the same technology to construct plastic waste mix roads in some parts of the country.

Various works reported [2], [4], [5], [18], [19] have suggested that plastic roads have better durable quality compared to normal bituminous roads. Nevertheless, there are very few field studies on this matter that have been done and reported. Kwabena et al. [16] suggested that more research should be carried out to examine the long-term performance of road sections used with plastic modified bitumen to evaluate the effects on distresses such as cracking resistance, rutting, etc. under varying traffic conditions. As seen from the literature review, no study to compare the actual performance of NBR and PBR has been conducted and also whether PBR is better in resisting distresses are not explored. The same has been targeted by the present study.

III. METHODS

A. Selection of Study Area

The selection of the appropriate pavement section for carrying out detailed investigation and performance evaluation was dependent on the defined criteria.

- The study area selected is Pune city titled as, "the most liveable city in India" situated in the state of Maharashtra, India.
- All the 20 roads selected for the study, i.e., Normal Bituminous Roads (NBR) and bituminous roads mixed with 8% waste plastic which is commonly known as Plastic Bituminous Roads (PBR) were laid during the same period, namely, December 2016, and come under the jurisdiction of Pune Municipal Corporation (PMC).
- All the roads are city roads (Category-local streets) with low traffic volume in terms of Commercial Vehicle Per Day (CVPD) (Low CVPD:50 to 450).

B. Evaluation of Pavement Distresses

The functional state of pavement is either measured or indicated by surface distress. The condition of the pavement resulting from surface distress is considered very significant by the concerned authorities. Pavement distress data has been collected on the 20 road sections that have been specifically chosen. These roads are both NBR and PBR. The basic inventory data of NBR and PBR were collected when the roads were being laid. Name of the road with its length, width, and type (PBR or NBR), details of overlaying, i.e., thickness in mm, type of overlay and also the date on which it was laid were all noted. Five distress parameters were selected which are predominant for urban roads with bituminous surfaces. The distresses are cracking, ravelling, potholes, rut depth, and settlement. But after the study it was observed that none of the roads had a settlement issue, hence it was automatically removed from further calculations and analysis. Physical distress is identified, and a quantified visual assessment of distress is made according to IRC: 82-2015 [20].

The distress studies were carried out for three consecutive years (2017-2019). Distresses like pavement ravelling, cracking, potholes, and rut depth have been measured utilizing simple measuring instruments like tape and a scale, and the results, in turn, have been converted into percentages expressed as the total area of the pavement surface. Each road was considered into sub-sections of 50 m for distress calculations. The distresses considered are as follows:

1) Cracking

Cracking of bituminous surfacing is common distress seen on the majority of roads in India. Cracking is of various types:

- Hairline cracks

Hairline cracks are present in a narrow area and their width is less than one mm. These appear as short and fine cracks at close intervals on the surface.

2. Alligator or Map cracks

Alligator or map cracking is characterized as a series of interconnected cracks, having small irregular blocks in pavement surface which resemble the skin of an alligator. These cracks may be of different types depending upon the extent and severity. The size of irregular shape blocks of cracks varies from less than 30 cm to more. Fig. 1 below shows the alligator cracking at one NBR.

Fig. 1 Alligator cracking on NBR, Pune, India.

3. Longitudinal cracks

Cracks that appear parallel to the centerline or along the road are called longitudinal cracks.

4. Transverse cracks

These cracks appear in the transverse directions or as interconnected cracks forming series of large blocks perpendicular to the direction of the road.

5. Edge Cracks

Edge cracking is defined as cracks that develop parallel to the outer edge of the pavement.
IR C:82-2015 states at the network level all the different types of cracking can be consigned under percentage cracking. The study has considered all the different types of cracking as cracking percentages.

2) Ravelling

Ravelling is defined as progressive separation and dissociation of fine aggregates particles and binder from the bituminous surface. Fine aggregates wear away first followed by coarse aggregates. The ravelling process generally starts from the surface and goes down or starts from the edge and goes inward. Fig. 2 below shows the ravelling on one of the NBR.

![Fig. 2. NBR showing ravelling condition, Pune, India.](image)

3) Potholes

Bowl-shaped cavities of differing sizes in the bituminous surface of the road or extending into the binder or the base course of the pavement are known as potholes. They are caused by localized disintegration of the mix that is used to build the road. The most common cause of pothole formation is loss of adhesion in bituminous wearing coat due to the ingress of water into the pavement or due to higher voids in the surface. Fig. 3 shows the formation of a pothole on one of the PBR.

![Fig. 3. Pothole at PBR, Pune, India.](image)

4) Rutting

Rutting is a result of longitudinal displacement in the path of the wheel as a result of the wheel load. Rutting has also been examined in the current study and the average rut depth has been measured utilizing a 3-metre straight edge with a procedure that has been followed as per IRC: 82-2015 guidelines [20].

After evaluating distresses, the next objective was to assess how the roads are behaving over the years and whether PBR is better in resisting distress. For that 2-Way ANOVA would be the best statistical tool.

C. Two Way Analysis of Variance (2-way ANOVA)

“A 2-way ANOVA tests the effect of two independent variables on a dependent variable”. In statistics, the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) is an extension of the one-way ANOVA that examines the influence of two different categorical independent variables on one continuous dependent variable. The two-way ANOVA not only aims at assessing the main effect of each independent variable but also if there is any interaction between them.

The benefits of Two-Way ANOVA are:

- It is more accurate to simultaneously analyse two variables rather than separately.
- By adding a second factor that is assumed to affect the response, the residual variance in a model can be minimized.
- The interactions between factors can be investigated. Since the primary objective of the study is to find out “how the roads (PBR and NBR) are performing over the years” and “whether there is a significant difference between normal roads and plastic roads”, 2-way ANOVA is considered most suited. Afraki Sassan [21] applied two-way ANOVA to investigate the effects of crumb rubber modification (CRM) on bitumen’s rheological properties as a performance grade (PG) improvement for medium, moderate, and high service temperatures. The author also looked into the effects of low/high shear blending conditions on rheological properties. Two-way ANOVA was used to investigate the impact of interactions between blending conditions and modifier material.

Ozge Karadas Atas gives the sample size for the ANOVA and the requirement given by the author fits the research data well [22]. Through this 2-way ANOVA, the researcher is trying to answer three research questions, namely:

- Whether PBR and NBR differ in different distress parameter conditions.
- Whether the distress condition differs across years.
- There are no interaction effects between the first and second factors.

The level is significant (α) is considered as 5% and 1% respectively. A significance level of 0.05 indicates a 5% risk of concluding that an effect exists when there is no actual effect.

All measurable estimations are calculated with the assistance of Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS software). IBM-SPSS is a widely used program for statistical analysis in research works of all kinds. The IBM -SPSS programming offers progressed measurable investigation and effectively accessible programming and remarkable tool to perform 2-way ANOVA. SPSS Statistics version 13.0 has been used for the analysis of two-way ANOVA. The calculation for one distress is detailed and all other distresses are analysed in a similar manner.

D. Research Question for Cracking Condition

- Whether plastic road and normal road differ in different cracking conditions?
- Whether the cracking condition differs across years?
- Whether there are interaction effects between the
Cracking Condition for plastic roads and normal roads across years?

E. Variables and Measurement

1) Independent Variables
   Type of Roads
   Year of Distress evaluation
   2. 2018.

2) Dependent Variable
   Cracking Condition in %
   Hypothesis Development
   A hypothesis is a testable proposition, that the researcher wants to examine. In this study, several hypotheses have been developed, which have been discussed below.

3) Main Effect (1): Road Types
   Null Hypothesis (H₀₁): PBR and NBR do not differ in cracking conditions.
   Alternative Hypothesis (H₁₁): PBR and NBR significantly differ in cracking conditions.

4) Main Effect (2): Year
   H₀₂: Cracking conditions do not differ across three years.
   H₁₂: Cracking condition differs across three years.

5) Interaction Effect (3): Year × Group
   Two-way ANOVA also examines the interaction effect of years and the type of roads put together.
   The hypothesis to be tested for interaction effect are as follows:
   H₀: No interaction Effect. (Interaction Effect absent).
   H₁: Interaction Effect Exist.

Table I present the basic descriptive statistics of the two types of roads across three years, 2017, 2018, 2019. It is evident from Table I that the average of plastic roads is quite lower compared to normal bituminous roads (0.37 compared to 0.54).

To test the hypothesis H₀₁, H₀₂, and H₁₂, 2-way ANOVA is performed. The results of 2-way ANOVA are presented in Table II.

Table III shows that cracking condition density differ significantly between PBR and NBR, as the reported significance value is less than α (0.000) at 5%. Therefore, H₀₁ is rejected and H₁₁ is accepted at a 5% level of significance. Similarly, with respect to years, the cracking condition density differs significantly across years (2017-2019). The reported significance value 0.000<α, i.e., 0.05. Hence, the null hypothesis H₁₂ is also rejected and H₁₂ is accepted which states cracking condition differs across three years.

6) Research question for Ravelling Condition
   Similarly, for Ravelling condition, several hypotheses were formulated, and results are shown below. It is evident from Table III that the average of plastic roads is quite lower compared to NBR (0.362 compared to 0.471). It is also observed in plastic roads for the first year, i.e., 2017, the value is zero which further proves the deterioration started late in the case of PBR.

In order to test the hypothesis H₀₁, H₀₂ and H₁₂, 2-way ANOVA is performed. The results of two-way ANOVA are presented in TABLE IV.

| TABLE I: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF PBR AND NBR FOR CRACKING CONDITION |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Year | Mean | Std. Deviation | Year | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Plastic bituminous road | Normal bituminous road | Plastic bituminous road | Normal bituminous road |
| 2017 | 0.0790 | 0.1450 | 2017 | 0.0983 | 0.14122 |
| 2018 | 0.2054 | 0.25863 | 2018 | 0.5119 | 0.61322 |
| 2019 | 0.8246 | 0.66849 | 2019 | 1.0231 | 0.87418 |
| Total | 0.3700 | 0.53034 | Total | 0.5444 | 0.72455 |

| TABLE II: ASSESSING SIGNIFICANCE FOR ROADS VS GROUP FOR CRACKING CONDITION |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Source | Type III Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Corrected Model | 29.360 | 5 | 5.872 | 19.171 | 000** |
| Intercept | 42.928 | 1 | 42.928 | 140.151 | 000** |
| Group | 1.563 | 1 | 1.563 | 5.101 | 0.025* |
| Year | 24.861 | 2 | 12.431 | 40.584 | 000** |
| Group X Year | 0.720 | 2 | 0.360 | 1.176 | 0.311 |
| Error | 64.233 | 210 | 0.306 | |

*Significant at 5%. **Significant at 1%.

| TABLE III: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF P.B.R AND N.B.R FOR RAVELLING CONDITION |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Year | Mean | Std. Deviation | Year | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Plastic bituminous road | Normal bituminous road | Plastic bituminous road | Normal bituminous road |
| 2017 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2017 | 0.03516 | 0.12065 |
| 2018 | 0.2585 | 0.64210 | 2018 | 0.31880 | 0.53875 |
| 2019 | 0.8277 | 1.13551 | 2019 | 1.05945 | 1.45820 |
| Total | 0.3621 | 0.82132 | Total | 0.47114 | 0.99293 |
TABLE IV: ASSESSING SIGNIFICANCE FOR ROADS VS GROUP FOR RAVELLING CONDITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>35.267</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.053</td>
<td>9.843</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>35.641</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.641</td>
<td>49.736</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>31.028</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.514</td>
<td>21.649</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x Year</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>150.487</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 5%. **Significant at 1%.

TABLE V: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF P.B.R AND N.B.R FOR POTHOLES CONDITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Plastic bituminous road</th>
<th>Normal bituminous road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.00504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.01433</td>
<td>0.05648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.0619</td>
<td>0.17524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.10830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI: ASSESSING SIGNIFICANCE FOR ROADS VS GROUP FOR POTHOLES CONDITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>1.522</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>5.936</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>15.956</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>5.598</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>7.273</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x Year</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>2.342</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>10.769</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 5%. **Significant at 1%.

TABLE VII: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF P.B.R AND N.B.R FOR RUT DEPTH CONDITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plastic bituminous road</td>
<td>Normal bituminous road</td>
<td>Plastic bituminous road</td>
<td>Normal bituminous road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.01718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.0825</td>
<td>0.20827</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.07873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.12507</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.04676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To test the hypothesis $H_{01}$, $H_{02}$, and $H_{03}$, 2-way ANOVA is performed. The results of 2-way ANOVA are presented in Table VI.

TABLE VI shows that pothole's condition density differs between PBR and NBR, as the reported significance value (0.019) is less than $\alpha$ at 5%. Therefore, $H_{03}$ is rejected. Hence, it is concluded that PBR and NBR differ in potholes condition. Similarly, with respect to years, the potholes' condition density differs significantly across years (2017-2019). The reported significance value 0.001$<\alpha$, i.e., 0.05. Hence, the null hypothesis $H_{02}$ is also rejected and $H_{03}$ is accepted which states potholes condition differs significantly across three years (2017-2019). The reported significance value 0.001$<\alpha$, i.e., 0.05. Hence, the null hypothesis $H_{02}$ is also rejected and $H_{03}$ is accepted which states potholes condition differs across three years.

7) Research question for Potholes Condition

Similarly, for the condition of the pothole, several hypotheses were formulated, and the results are shown below. TABLE V presents the basic descriptive statistics of the two types of roads across three years, 2017, 2018, 2019 for potholes. It is evident from TABLE V that the average of PBR is quite lower compared to NBR (0.02574 compared to 0.1005). It is also observed in plastic roads for the first year i.e., 2017, the value is negligible which further proves the deterioration started late in the case of PBR.

To test the hypothesis $H_{01}$, $H_{02}$, and $H_{03}$, 2-way ANOVA is performed. The results of 2-way ANOVA are presented in Table VIII.

8) Research Question for Rut Depth Condition

To test the hypothesis $H_{01}$, $H_{02}$, and $H_{03}$, 2-way ANOVA is performed. The results of 2-way ANOVA are presented in Table VIII.
Table VII presents the basic descriptive statistics of the two types of roads across three years. It is observed from Table VII that in the first year both the types of roads (NBR and PBR) have no rut depth which is a good sign in itself which signifies both the roads are extremely safer in terms of driving safety as the main concern with rutting has been related to driving safety. It is also observed in 2018, PBR has not developed any rutting which further proves in the initial year PBR is developing no distresses in terms of rut depth. Mean rut depth is more in PBR which is due to one road where it is coming significantly high. Physical verification of that specific road has revealed that there is construction work at the adjacent site because of which heavily loaded trucks are continuously plying which may be the reason for the increased rut depth in that particular road.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results obtained from basic descriptive statistics of the two types of roads across three years for cracking, potholes and ravelling condition reveal that mean distress over the years is always more in the case of NBR as compared to PBR which further proves PBR is good in resisting early distress. Mean rut depth is more in PBR and the reason is already stated in the previous section of the paper. The study has also revealed that plastic and normal bituminous roads differ in cracking and potholes conditions whereas they do not differ in ravelling conditions. Plastic and Normal bituminous roads significantly differ in rut depth conditions.

The use of this sustainable and innovative technology not only reinforced road construction but also increased road life by resisting early distresses and indirectly saving the costly bitumen by replacing it with waste plastic. With the use of waste plastic in roads, it is expected that India will have significantly high. Physical verification of that specific road has revealed that there is construction work at the adjacent site because of which heavily loaded trucks are continuously plying which may be the reason for the increased rut depth in that particular road.
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