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Abstract — Cognitive Radio (CR) system has been adopted for efficient utilization of radio frequency spectrum. The classification of signal modulation schemes is one of the main characteristics of the CR for appropriate demodulation of sensed signals. However, conventional Modulation Classification (MC) techniques require extensive extraction of signal features, which is not often guaranteed. Thus, Deep Learning (DL) has been seen as a promising solution to this drawback in MC. This paper proposes a DL-based MC technique using the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network architecture. The proposed LSTM model was trained on M-ary Phase Shift Keying (MPSK) and M-ary Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (MQAM) signal types. The LSTM directly learns the features of a given modulation scheme of a signal sample during training. The signal samples were generated via computer simulation for Signal-to-Noise Ratios (SNRs) from -10 dB to 20 dB with an interval of 5 dB over flat fading channel and Additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). Simulation results show that the proposed LSTM model achieves an average classification accuracy of 95% at SNRs above 0 dB.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The continued global increase in the number of connecting devices for Device-to-Device (D2D) and Machine-to-Machine (M2M) wireless communications such as the Internet of Things (IoT) [1], [2] has imposed a heavy demand for radio frequency spectrum. Consequently, the traditional spectrum access policy in which fixed frequency bands are assigned exclusively to licensed Primary Users (PUs) is no longer sustainable. This is because most of the frequency spectrum allocated to PUs are often underutilized; thereby creating the phenomenon called white spaces (or spectrum holes) [3].

In order to solve the problem of white spaces, the Cognitive Radio (CR) technology has been adopted [4]. The CR technology permits unlicensed Secondary Users (SUs) to make use of idle channels (i.e. white spaces) of PUs without causing interference to the PUs. Consequently, an SU that is willing to use an idle channel must apply a robust Spectrum Sensing (SS) technique to accurately detect the presence or absence of the PU in the channel so as to make the appropriate decision [5]. Some other functions that can be performed by a CR (i.e. an SU) are Adaptive Coded Modulation (ACM) and Modulation Classification (MC) [3], [6].

A CR is expected to be able to correctly recognize or classify the type of modulation scheme of the received signal so as to apply the appropriate demodulation process. However, MC by the CR is a challenging task if there is no prior knowledge of the signal characteristics like modulation scheme, coding, symbol rate and carrier frequency [3], [7]. Conventional MC requires signal feature extraction either at waveform level or symbol level; and the signal features can be categorized into temporal, spectral and vector-space [8], [9]. The drawbacks of feature-based MC techniques include high computational cost or high sensitivity to noise and distortion [3], [8], [10].

Recent developments in MC tend toward Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) techniques. In the ML techniques, signal features’ extraction stage must precede the training of the classifier such as Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Support Vector Machine (SVM) and K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN) [11] - [14]. Consequently, the achievable classification accuracy depends on the quality of extracted signal features and the method of extraction, which are not usually guaranteed [15]. On the other hand, the DL techniques such Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) do not require a separate feature extraction stage because the classifier learns to classify data directly [16], [17].

This paper investigates the performance of the LSTM classifier for recognizing the modulation scheme of a received signal by a CR. The LSTM classifier which is a DL-based technique is employed to address the problem of signal feature extraction during the MC process.

II. RELATED WORK

The DL approach for MC in Cognitive Radio Networks (CRNs) is gaining attention in recent times. The CNN model was proposed by Zhou et al. [16] for achieving robust MC at low Signal-to-Noise Ratios (SNRs). Constellation diagrams of modulation schemes were used to train the CNN model in [18]. A generalization strategy was used to enhance the standard CNN for MC in [15]. Zheng et al. [19] compared three fusion methods for handling variation in the length of the signal passed as input to the CNN classifier.
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In [20], a CNN classifier was trained with reduced signal dimensions and was shown to outperform some ML-based models. Lin et al. [21] proposed a combination of gated recurrent unit and CNN to detect temporal features. The superiority of the CNN-based MC over the classical models has also been evidenced in [22].

Majority of the DL-based MC techniques proposed in the literature adopted the CNN model whereas little attention is given to the use of the LSTM model [23]. Thus, the application of the LSTM model for MC in CRN is the focus of this paper.

III. SYSTEM MODEL

A. Cognitive Radio Link Model

A typical communication link in a CRN with MC is shown in Fig. 1. The transmitter (e.g., a PU) modulates the information bits using either the M-ary Phase Shift Keying (MPSK) or M-ary Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (MQAM) digital modulation type [7]. The modulated signal is then transmitted over wireless fading channel. Additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is added to the faded received signal at the SU’s receiver. The receiver has no prior knowledge of the modulation scheme used by the transmitter. Thus, the receiver applies an MC technique (which is LSTM-based in this study) to recognize the modulation scheme of the received baseband signal. Then the knowledge of the modulation scheme is used to demodulate the received signal so as to obtain the information.

Binary PSK (BPSK), Quadrature PSK (QPSK), 8PSK, 16QAM and 64QAM were selected for this study based on the IEEE 802.11a standard [9]. The signal received by a CR can be expressed as:

$$y(t) = h(t)x(t) + z(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $x(t)$ is the transmit signal, $h(t)$ is the fading channel response and $z(t)$ is the AWGN which is zero-mean Gaussian random variables with unknown variance and $t$ is the time instant. The fading channel response $h(t)$ is assumed to be frequency non-selective fading, which is given by:

$$h(t) = \alpha(t)e^{j\phi(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\alpha(t)$ is a random variable taking from Rayleigh distribution, and $\phi(t)$ is the phase [1].

The MPSK modulated transmit signal can be expressed as [22]:

$$x_{\text{MPSK}}(t) = \sum_{i} g(t - iT_s) \cos \left(2\pi f_s t + (2m+1)\frac{\pi}{M}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $m \in \{0,1,\ldots,M-1\}$, $T_s$ is the symbol period, $g(t)$ is the pulse and $M$ is the modulation level.

A transmit signal modulated by MQAM can be expressed as:

$$x_{\text{MQAM}}(t) = \sum_{i} g(t - iT_s) \sqrt{A_i^2 + B_i^2} \cos \left(2\pi f_s t + \phi_i\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\phi_i = \tan^{-1}(B_i/A_i)$, $A_i$ and $B_i$ are functions of the constellation distance [22].

It is evident in (3) and (4) that the modulation type of a received signal can be deduced from the signal characteristics or pattern. Thus, a pattern recognition system like the proposed LSTM model can be used to recognize the modulation scheme of a signal.
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A. Proposed LSTM Model

An LSTM network works by connecting the current state of information to the previous state in a time series [24]. The proposed LSTM model directly learns the features of a given modulation scheme of a known signal, and thereafter uses the knowledge to recognize the modulation scheme if present in an unknown signal. The architecture for the LSTM model with a single in the memory block is shown in Fig. 2. The hidden layer in the LSTM network is a recurrent layer that feeds the input units, input gate, forget gate and output gate from the output units of the cell. In practice, the LSTM network is made up of more than one memory block; and each block consists of one or more memory cells.

At a given iteration $t$, the LSTM maps input signal’s sequence, $x(t)$, to the target output sequence, $y(t)$, using the network of unit activations; and all units are updated. The parameter $c(t)$ is the cell activation vector at iteration $t$, $W_{\text{out}}$ is the matrix of weights from the output gate to the output, $W_f$ is the matrix of weights from the forget gate to the cell, $W_i$ is the matrix of weights from the input gate to the input, whereas $h$ and $q$ denote the cell output and cell input activation functions, respectively.

The algorithm for the proposed LSTM model is summarized in Fig. 3.
B. Training and Testing Methods

For each of the modulation schemes {BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM and 64QAM}, information data consisting of 1000 bits was randomly generated and modulated. Then, the modulated waveform was mixed with the randomly generated bits was randomly generated and modulated. Then, the 16QAM and 64QAM, information data consisting of 1000 bits was randomly generated and modulated. Then, the modulated waveform was mixed with the randomly generated fading process with the addition of AWGN process to obtain the received signal. This procedure was repeated to generate the signal samples under seven different SNRs: -10 dB, -5 dB, 0 dB, 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB and 20 dB. As contained in Table I, a combination of generated samples of three SNRs (i.e., -10 dB, 5 dB, 20 dB) were used to train the LSTM model.

The training dataset was partitioned randomly in equal proportion into 80% for training and 20% for validation. The initial learning rate for the LSTM model was set to 0.01. The adaptive moment estimation (adam) solver with batch size of 150 was adopted, and the maximum epoch set to 100. The testing dataset was then passed as input to the trained LSTM model to classify the modulation scheme of each test signal sample. Summary of the testing dataset is contained in Table II.

IV. RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The system model was simulated with Matlab R2018a software package, and the performance of the proposed LSTM model was evaluated using classification accuracy. The graph of training accuracy and loss during training of the LSTM model for MC are shown in Fig. 4. Training accuracy of 99.96% was attained at 100th epochs. Moreover, the loss of training could no longer be reduced after 85th epoch. The confusion matrix showing the classification performance of the trained LSTM model for MPSK modulation type (i.e., BPSK, QPSK and 8PSK) is presented in Fig. 5. The results reveal that the achieved overall classification accuracy is 93%. Similarly, the overall classification accuracy of 77.6% was obtained for the MQAM type (i.e., 16QAM and 64QAM) as shown in the confusion matrix of Fig. 6. The results clearly indicate that the LSTM model was able to recognize 8PSK better than both the BPSK and QPSK. Furthermore, the LSTM model could identify 64QAM better than 16QAM. The performance of the proposed LSTM model for classifying the MPSK modulation type under SNRs from -10 dB to 20 dB is presented in Fig. 7. The classification accuracy averaged over the range of SNRs for BPSK, QPSK and 8PSK are 79.33%, 79.30% and 87.98%, respectively. In addition, the proposed LSTM model achieves an average of 94.71% classification accuracy with SNR of 5 dB and above, whereas an average of 65.49% classification accuracy is obtained by the model in the low SNR region (i.e., 0 dB and below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II: Testing Dataset Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Fig. 8, higher classification accuracy is obtained by the proposed LSTM model for 64QAM compared to 16QAM. Average classification accuracy of 64.38% and 73.75% are obtained for 16QAM and 64QAM, respectively. Meanwhile, in the high SNR region (i.e. 5 dB and above), the model yields average classification accuracy of 93.34% for 16QAM and 97.51% for 64QAM. The reason for the performance degradation of the model in the low SNR region is due to the Rayleigh fading channel which causes severe distortion of the constellations of the modulation schemes, especially the schemes with high constellation sizes.

The results also reveal that the proposed LSTM model recognizes modulation schemes with higher constellation sizes in a group of schemes.
In this paper, an LSTM model is proposed for cognitive radio networks to classify modulation schemes. The proposed LSTM model was trained for BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM and 64QAM digital modulation schemes with SNRs of -10 dB, 5 dB and 20 dB; but can be used to classify signals of SNR from -10 dB to 20 dB. The proposed LSTM model yields an average classification accuracy of 95% with SNRs from 0 dB and above. This reveals the effectiveness of the proposed LSTM model in Rayleigh fading channels; and is thus suitable for practical applications in wireless communications. Future investigation of the proposed LSTM model will include analogue modulation types.
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